Case 5:09-cr-00361-JW Document 12 Filed 08/27/09 Page 1 of 4

1	BARRY J. PORTMAN Federal Public Defender LARA S. VINNARD						
2							
3	Assistant Federal Public Defender 160 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 575						
4	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 291-7753						
5	Counsel for Defendant CUENCA						
6							
7							
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION						
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	,	No.: CR 09-00361 JW				
12)	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE				
13	Plaintiff,)	HEARING AND EXCLUDE TIME;				
14	V.)	[PROPOSED] ORDER				
15	DEREK CUENCA,)					
16	Defendant.	_))					
17	Defendant and the government, through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate that,						
18	subject to the Court's approval, the hearing in the above-captioned matter, presently scheduled						
19	for Monday, August 31, 2009, at 1:30 p.m., be continued to Monday, September 28, 2009, at						
20	1:300 p.m. The continuance is requested because the parties have been discussing and						
21	attempting to reconcile disputed issues regarding sentencing, and the defense requires time to						
22	discuss the government's current proposal with Mr. Cuenca. Additionally, in the event that Mr.						
23	Cuenca accepts the government's proposal, the government will require time to prepare a						
24	proposed plea agreement and obtain necessary approval. Finally, government counsel will be out						
25	of the office next week, so that time is needed for continuity of counsel.						
26	The parties further agree that time should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act because						
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE; [PROPOSED] ORDER No. CR 09-00361 JW	1					

Case 5:09-cr-00361-JW Document 12 Filed 08/27/09 Page 2 of 4

1	the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the interest of the			
2	public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to grant the requested continuance would			
3	deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the			
4	exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. The parties therefore			
5	stipulate that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and			
6	6 (B)(iv).			
7		/s/_ RA S. VINNARD		
8		stant Federal Public Defender		
9		ANT FONDO		
10		stant United States Attorney		
11	1			
12	2			
13	3			
14	4			
15	5			
16	5			
17	7			
18	8			
19	9			
20	0			
21	1			
22	2			
23	3			
24				
25				
26				
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE			

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE; [PROPOSED] ORDER No. CR 09-00361 JW

	Case 5:09-cr-00361-JW Document 1:	2 Filed 08/27/09 Page 3 of 4					
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION						
11	5/11/30	SE DIVISION					
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No.: CR 09-00361 JW					
13	Plaintiff,	() [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING AND EXCLUDING TIME					
14	v.))					
15	DEREK CUENCA,))					
16	Defendant.) _)					
17	The parties have jointly requested a continuance of the hearing set for Monday, August						
18	31, 2009, to allow time for Mr. Cuenca and his counsel to prepare effectively by discussing the						
19	government's current settlement proposal, and	d to allow time for the government to prepare and					
20	obtain approval for a proposed plea agreement. Additionally, time is needed for continuity of						
21	counsel.						
22	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing date						
23	presently set for Monday, August 31, 2009, be continued to Monday, September 28, 2009, at						
24	1:30 p.m.						
25	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, IT	IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of time					
26	from August 31, 2009 to September 28, 2009	, shall be excluded from the period of time within					
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE; [PROPOSED] ORDER No. CR 09-00361 JW	3					

Case 5:09-cr-00361-JW Document 12 Filed 08/27/09 Page 4 of 4

1	which trial must commence under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 et seq. The Court		
2	finds, based on the aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice served by granting the		
3	requested continuance outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.		
4	The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny defense counsel reasonable time		
5	necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would		
6	result in a miscarriage of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time		
7	should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).		
8	Dated:		
9	JAMES WARE United States District Judge		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
	GENNII AERON TO GONEDNIE		

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE; [PROPOSED] ORDER No. CR 09-00361 JW